112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

Strengthening Local Business Integrity & Intellectual Property Enforcement

Root Automation ISP Business Integrity (PDF Version)
Root Automation ISP Business Integrity (ODT Version)

March 17, 2025

Purpose and Intent of This Document:

- The intent of this document is to explore the balancing of existing telecommunications and intellectual property rights without misinterpreting or endorsing the push for faster Internet as a means to skew the rights of companies like Root Automation and its prior, current, and potential future customers.
- Root Automation supports **responsible progress in digital infrastructure** while ensuring that **legal protections for ISP independence, digital ownership, and fair regulations are not undermined** by the broader industry push for high-speed networks that favor monopolistic entities.
- The policies outlined herein reflect a commitment to transparency, legal integrity, and consumer
 protections, ensuring that all stakeholders operate within a framework that prevents regulatory overreach and
 corporate dominance.
- **I. Introduction** This document outlines key policies to enhance business accountability, ensure fair procurement practices, and establish a structured approach to intellectual property (IP) enforcement, particularly in the digital space. These policies aim to create a legally sound and ethically responsible jurisdiction that prioritizes transparency, local business support, and cooperation with social media companies in resolving IP disputes.

Additionally, this document addresses serious concerns regarding government overreach, potential abuses of power, coercion, and threats of violence, including murder and sexual assault, as tools of control and suppression in regulatory environments.

II. ISP Business Licensing Requirement

1. **Mandatory Business Licenses for ISPs:** All Internet Service Providers (ISPs) operating within the jurisdiction must obtain a valid business license to operate legally.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- 2. **Compliance and Oversight:** ISPs must adhere to local regulations, including data protection laws, consumer protection standards, and cooperation with IP enforcement agencies.
- 3. **Penalties for Non-Compliance:** Any ISP found operating without a business license may face fines, revocation of operating privileges, and potential legal action.
- 4. **Expectation in Business Agreements:** Any agreements, partnerships, or grants involving the ISP shall explicitly require that all parties involved adhere to these licensing standards and ethical procurement policies.

III. Fair and Accountable Government Procurement

- 1. **Procurement from Licensed Businesses:** Government agencies shall only procure services and products from businesses holding valid local business licenses.
- 2. **Restrictions on Out-of-Jurisdiction Procurement:** Government entities shall not procure goods or services from businesses outside the jurisdiction unless a clearly documented public policy outlines a necessity for such procurement.
- 3. **Transparency in Procurement Decisions:** All procurement decisions involving out-of-jurisdiction suppliers must be publicly documented, justifying the need based on unavailability, cost efficiency, or specialized expertise.
- 4. **Grant Compliance:** If an ISP were to apply for or accept grant funding, it shall be made clear in all agreements that compliance with these procurement standards is expected and any violation may result in termination of funding or legal consequences.

IV. Addressing Coercion, Blackmail, and Physical Threats in Regulatory and Business Operations

- 1. Protection Against Regulatory Abuse and Coercion:
 - There is growing concern that **government agencies**, **including the FCC**, **could use bureaucratic** processes, regulatory harassment, or targeted legal actions as tools of coercion and intimidation.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- The use of selective enforcement, refusal to recognize proper legal business addresses, and
 regulatory delay tactics may be part of a broader strategy to suppress or control business entities
 operating within a legal framework.
- Root Automation has faced direct instances where the FCC knowingly refused to send official
 communications to the legal business address, despite clear instructions, instead sending
 correspondence to private residences and PO Boxes, which were not authorized for businessrelated matters.
- The legal address of Root Automation was 1916 Fort Jones Rd, Yreka, CA, until a change in
 property ownership forced relocation. The current and legally recognized address is 112 4 H Way,
 Yreka, CA 96097, as officially recorded with the U.S. Postal Service.
- The FCC's refusal to send communications to the legal address until multiple returned letters forced
 them to acknowledge it suggests a deliberate attempt at harassment and procedural
 manipulation.
- When Root Automation intended to participate in the Affordable Connectivity Program (ACP), the business entity's SAM.gov registration needed renewal. While reaching out to Dun & Bradstreet's government division to facilitate the process, it became apparent that SAM.gov requires two separate checkboxes related to federal grant processes. Root Automation deliberately did not agree to those terms, and as a result, the SAM.gov entity may not have been updated with the new business address. However, the move to the new address had already occurred or was intended at that time. Thus, any outdated address record in SAM.gov was a result of a conscious decision to avoid unnecessary federal grant obligations rather than any misrepresentation of the business location.
- Further concerns arise from Siskiyou County's takeover of the Siskiyou County Economic
 Development Group, which resulted in the removal of Monique Dixon and attempted removal of
 Root Automation from participation. Despite the takeover, Root Automation remained engaged in
 the process and protested its right to be involved.
- Root Automation never signed an agreement with Siskiyou County, yet the County submitted
 applications misrepresenting the process and failing to provide Root Automation with a formal
 contract to sign, as was undoubtedly provided to other entities. This raises serious concerns of
 procedural misconduct, misrepresentation, and unauthorized use of business identity in federal
 or state applications.
- Root Automation's DUNS number is 03-667-0677, and while Dun & Bradstreet may list the business under the legal name Ryan Mark Root as a sole proprietorship, they also maintain Root Automation as the business entity name along with associated address information that aligns with USPS records. The transition away from Dun & Bradstreet's system by the federal government during the Biden administration raises additional concerns regarding how prior entity verification data was used, modified, or discarded in government processes, particularly in cases where regulatory agencies may have misrepresented business details intentionally or selectively applied outdated records to justify enforcement actions.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

As may be necessary, **Root Automation will work with agencies such as the FBI** to address regulatory abuses, business misrepresentation, and unlawful coercion when such matters warrant federal investigative attention.

V. Documenting Business License Compliance & Preventing Selective Enforcement

1. Fair and Transparent Licensing Requirements:

- Any jurisdiction requiring ISPs to obtain a business license must enforce this requirement equally across all providers.
- No business, including large ISPs or government-favored entities, should receive an exemption unless it is publicly documented and legally justified.
- If a jurisdiction transparently allows some ISPs to operate without a business license while requiring
 others to obtain one and publicly discloses the reasoning behind this decision, this may be considered
 fair and transparent. However, the current ambiguity in enforcement, where some providers operate
 without a license while others are required to have one with no clear justification, is neither fair nor
 transparent and must be addressed.

2. Requirement for Written Confirmation of Licensing Status:

• If a city, county, or state claims an ISP does not need a business license, this must be confirmed in writing by the appropriate authority.

VI. County Authority Over Broadband Infrastructure & Transparency Concerns

1. County-Level Authority to Acquire Broadband Infrastructure:

- Senate Bill 156 grants counties the authority to acquire, construct, improve, and maintain broadband infrastructure, allowing them to operate broadband internet access services.
- The legislation also allows counties to seek state and federal funding to support broadband initiatives, raising concerns about transparency and ethical governance.

2. Transparency Concerns:

- The broad authority given to county supervisors could lead to acquisitions of broadband infrastructure that are **not publicly disclosed** or that benefit select entities rather than the general public.
- There is concern that such power could be used to **barter broadband infrastructure in non-public deals**, favoring certain ISPs or entities while harming competition and fair market practices.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

• It must be ensured that counties **do not exploit this authority to suppress private ISP competition** or interfere with businesses operating under fair licensing laws.

3. Call for Oversight and Fair Implementation:

- Any broadband infrastructure acquisitions made by counties must be fully transparent, subject to
 public review, and free from conflicts of interest.
- Regulatory agencies must be held accountable for ensuring public funds for broadband expansion
 are used fairly and do not result in monopolistic control by government-affiliated entities.

VII. Root Automation Franchise Model for Expanding Ethical ISP Practices

1. Establishing a Franchise-Based Model for ISP Expansion:

- To address inconsistencies in how ISPs are regulated across jurisdictions, Root Automation is
 developing a franchise model that will allow independent ISPs to operate under its established
 framework.
- This model will ensure that franchisees adhere to local business license laws, fair procurement policies, and ethical internet service practices.

2. Legal & Regulatory Support for Franchisees:

- Root Automation will provide legal assistance and regulatory compliance support to help smaller ISPs navigate complex federal, state, and local policies.
- This includes support for filing business licenses, challenging unfair regulatory enforcement, and ensuring fair competition.

3. Commitment to Ethical ISP Operations:

- Root Automation will maintain a **strict ethical code** that franchisees must follow, ensuring fair pricing, consumer protection, and lawful adherence to data privacy regulations.
- Franchisees will be required to **cooperate with federal investigations** only when due process and transparency standards are met.

4. Setting a New Standard for ISP Business Practices:

- By implementing this model, Root Automation aims to set a new standard for ethical ISP operations, ensuring that compliance, fairness, and legal integrity are upheld across different jurisdictions.
- This initiative seeks to promote a fair marketplace while protecting independent ISPs from regulatory abuse and monopolistic practices by larger entities.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

VIII. Regulatory Language Integrity and Legal Compliance

1. Concerns Over the FCC's Use of 'Broadband':

- The FCC's use of the term 'broadband' in regulatory documents has been inconsistent with its historical and scientific meanings, creating legal ambiguities and regulatory overreach.
- A formal complaint was sent to the CPUC and WISPA on March 29, 2023, outlining these concerns
 and highlighting the improper use of the term by regulatory agencies to manipulate grant eligibility
 and legal requirements.

2. WISPA America 2022 Event Incident:

- At the WISPA America event in March 2022, industry attorneys and regulatory professionals openly
 acknowledged the Affordable Connectivity Program (ACP) was not legally implemented.
- Despite this, when these concerns were raised, an effort was made to dismiss them and suppress
 discussion, highlighting a culture of regulatory non-compliance and suppression of dissenting
 voices.
- A senior legal professional at the event falsely implied that Root Automation had been denied
 participation due to discrimination claims, further demonstrating the extent of manipulation within
 these regulatory and industry groups.

3. Concerns Regarding WISPA's Role in the Industry:

- WISPA has positioned itself as the primary organization representing fixed wireless providers, yet its structure as a lobbying entity rather than a true trade organization has been detrimental to the industry.
- The creation of WISPA may have been strategically designed by telecommunications insiders
 and legal professionals to suppress the formation of independent trade organizations that do
 not engage in lobbying.
- WISPA has failed to demonstrate a clear separation between its lobbying efforts and its role as an
 industry group, thereby compromising the integrity of its representation of fixed wireless providers.
- Many fixed wireless providers, including Root Automation, have expressed concerns that WISPA's
 prioritization of legal defense for select members over industry-wide advocacy has harmed
 law-abiding providers.

4. Concerns About Legal Guidance from WISPA-Affiliated Attorneys:

- Attorneys involved with WISPA, including those providing legal presentations at industry events, have been observed making **ambiguous legal claims** that fail to provide clear guidance to ISPs.
- Legal professionals affiliated with WISPA have repeatedly stated that their presentations do not
 constitute legal advice, despite their direct influence on policy interpretations and industry practices.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- The blurred distinction between legal consultation and industry lobbying raises concerns about
 whether legal representatives at WISPA events are shielding their firms from liability while
 still guiding industry policies.
- The failure of WISPA-associated attorneys to properly disclose conflicts of interest while shaping
 regulatory discussions underscores the need for independent legal oversight within the fixed
 wireless industry.
- 5. Dun & Bradstreet's Removal from Federal Verification Process:
 - On April 4, 2022, the General Services Administration (GSA) officially transitioned away from the Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) system for federal entity validation and address verification.
 - The DUNS number system was replaced by the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) system in SAM.gov, eliminating D&B's role in federal business verification.
 - This transition raises concerns about how prior entity verification data was used, modified, or
 discarded in government processes, particularly when regulatory agencies may have
 misrepresented business details or selectively applied outdated records to justify enforcement
 actions.
 - The shift away from D&B's system underscores the need for greater transparency in federal
 entity verification and the impact of these changes on small businesses like Root Automation.

IX. Ethical and Legal Concerns in AI-Assisted Legal Decision Making

- 1. Potential Violations of Legal Ethics Standards in AI-Assisted Legal Research:
 - The California Bar Association and the ABA have issued guidance stating that attorneys must independently verify AI-generated legal conclusions before relying on them in legal conflicts or regulatory matters.
 - If attorneys or businesses used AI to validate legal arguments without proper verification, particularly in disputes involving broadband regulations, they may have violated professional ethics rules.
- 2. Concerns About AI Use in Regulatory and Industry Disputes:
 - If telecommunications companies, regulatory agencies, or attorneys consulted AI-based legal
 analysis to counter legal arguments related to broadband regulations without disclosure or
 verification, this raises ethical concerns.
 - If AI was used to validate or challenge Root Automation's legal positions regarding county supervisors' voting powers, infrastructure control, or business licensing, it must be disclosed in legal proceedings to ensure transparency and fairness.
- 3. AI's Role in Business Structure Interpretations:

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- The federal government and the State of California handle sole proprietorships and married couple businesses differently, particularly in legal and tax contexts.
- AI-generated interpretations of business ownership structures could be used improperly to challenge or redefine legal claims regarding sole proprietorships, partnerships, or tax obligations.
- Any legal argument or government decision affecting Root Automation's business structure that relied on AI must be verified by human review and disclosed.

4. AI's Role in Financial and Tax Decision-Making:

- Businesses like Root Automation often work with CPAs who request documentation for tax
 filings while limiting discussions on key decisions, such as depreciation schedules, until the last
 moment.
- AI-driven financial modeling may be pre-determining tax outcomes and structuring financial statements without direct business approval.
- There is concern that AI-assisted accounting processes may be used to justify financial decisions
 that do not align with business intent, potentially leading to disputes over tax obligations and
 business expenses.
- Any financial or tax-related decision affecting Root Automation that was influenced by AI
 must be disclosed and subject to challenge before submission.

5. AI Transparency in Legal and Regulatory Disputes:

- Any legal entity or government agency that relies on AI-generated interpretations of broadband laws, grant eligibility, or county authority over infrastructure must disclose the role of AI in their decision-making process.
- If AI-assisted arguments were used against Root Automation or other ISPs in legal conflicts, failure to disclose such use may be an ethical violation under evolving legal standards.

6. Risks of AI-Assisted Financial Allegations in Regulatory and Business Suppression:

- If regulatory agencies, such as the FCC, allege financial obligations against businesses they believe cannot pay, this could serve as a tactic to pressure businesses into compliance or drive them out of operation.
- Collusion between the FCC and larger telecommunications entities receiving greater funding
 may create incentives to target smaller ISPs with false or exaggerated financial claims, using AIassisted modeling to justify such actions.
- Any regulatory agency that utilizes AI to assess financial standing or create justifications for alleged debts must disclose its methodology and allow for human verification to prevent unfair suppression.



rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- The FCC has made claims regarding financial obligations that Root Automation allegedly
 owed, which were not only factually incorrect but also involved statements that could
 constitute perjury and false character accusations. These unverified AI-assisted claims raise
 serious concerns regarding the ethical use of AI in federal regulatory decision-making.
- 7. Concerns About Microsoft, Apple, and Government Agencies Engaging in Email Interception and Industry Manipulation:
 - Evidence suggests that Microsoft, Apple, and potentially government agencies have engaged in
 unauthorized email interception, modification, and blocking, falsely presenting their email
 services as interacting directly with ISP mail servers.
 - Microsoft Outlook and Apple Mail may be using vpopmail or similar software to duplicate
 email communications, potentially forwarding copies to cloud services or government agencies
 without user consent.
 - If government agencies are involved in blocking or investigating email copies at the client level, this suggests a coordinated effort to control email communications before ISPs even receive the traffic.
 - These actions may violate federal privacy and cybersecurity laws, including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (ECPA) and the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (CFAA).
 - Root Automation demands full transparency from Microsoft, Apple, and any government
 agencies involved in these practices, including disclosure of how email communications are being
 manipulated and whether they are being filtered, delayed, or deleted before reaching ISP mail
 servers.
- 8. Tech Giants Joining Industry Groups to Avoid Scrutiny:
 - Microsoft and other major technology firms have a pattern of joining industry groups like WISPA after concerns about their business practices are documented.
 - By joining WISPA or similar groups, these corporations may be:
 - Seeking legal protection and lobbying power to suppress regulatory investigations.
 - Gaining access to complaints and whistleblower reports to undermine independent ISPs.
 - Using WISPA as a front to justify harmful industry practices, falsely framing anticompetitive behavior as "best practices."
 - Regulatory capture of industry organizations by companies with substantial influence must be
 publicly acknowledged and countered to ensure fair market practices.
- 9. Strategic Hosting Locations and Government Interference in IP Ownership:
 - Root Automation acknowledges that certain jurisdictions and locations may serve as strongholds
 for storing digital IP rights, legal stances, and other critical content to prevent unlawful
 censorship or expropriation.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- Potential strongholds for IP storage include:
 - Satellite-based or outer space storage, which may operate outside conventional territorial regulations.
 - Government agencies or diplomatic locations, where stored content could receive
 additional legal protections.
 - **International transit zones or airport locations**, which may introduce jurisdictional complexities that limit external control.
 - Special Economic Zones (SEZs) or Free Trade Zones, which often have distinct legal and regulatory advantages.
- Large corporations like Meta (Facebook) and government entities have attempted to obscure
 digital ownership rights by pointing to external hosting locations to create legal ambiguity and
 weaken independent ISPs' ability to protect customer IP rights.
- Root Automation has identified instances where outside LLCs, without business licenses, have attempted to purchase services through government facilities, possibly to obscure ownership ties and evade regulatory scrutiny.
- To counteract these tactics, Root Automation will advocate for policies ensuring that ISP customers maintain clear, legally recognized ownership over their hosted IP, preventing external entities from covertly asserting control over digital assets.

10. FCC Form 477 and the Shift from Local Broadband Mapping to Centralized Federal Control:

- Originally, broadband mapping efforts were intended to be **local-first initiatives**, with ISPs collaborating with state and regional agencies to ensure accurate infrastructure data.
- This approach was abandoned in favor of a federally centralized system, controlled by the FCC through Form 477 submissions, which now requires ISPs to report address-level details.
- This shift raises concerns that Form 477 may have evolved from its original purpose into a mechanism for government agencies to obtain data that ISPs otherwise could not legally disclose, such as:
 - Which customers have which services at which locations.
 - Service distribution that could be cross-referenced with other databases for indirect surveillance.
- Independent ISPs, such as Root Automation, were previously **not required to submit Form 477**, and at the time, it was understood that compliance was optional for certain providers.
- Root Automation explicitly stated that if Form 477 submission was required, it would seek legal consultation through Irell rather than telecom attorneys aligned with federal regulatory entities.
- This decision may have contributed to attempts to remove Root Automation from broadband discussions and manipulate its involvement in infrastructure planning and grant processes.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

The removal of local control over broadband mapping and the shift to federal oversight suggests a
potential hidden agenda to consolidate ISP data collection, selectively enforce regulations, and exert
control over independent broadband providers.

11. Federal Awareness and Strategic Inaction Against Root Automation and Independent ISPs:

- It is evident that federal agencies and government officials **have been fully aware** of the issues occurring in Siskiyou County and similar jurisdictions **for years**, spanning multiple administrations.
- The continued lack of action is not due to ignorance but a deliberate strategy to either suppress, stall, or manipulate the situation for political, financial, or corporate gains.
- Reasons for Federal Inaction and Suppression:
 - Preserving existing power structures that benefit major telecom corporations and government agencies.
 - Strategic stalling to enable eventual government or corporate takeovers of infrastructure.
 - Fear of legal or political fallout if corruption, favoritism, or neglect is exposed.
 - Attempting to force independent ISPs like Root Automation to collapse under regulatory pressure and financial strain.

• Root Automation's Position:

- Root Automation does not require or expect bureaucratic permission to act.
- Root Automation will pursue legal action, strategic exposure, and independent infrastructure
 protections against regulatory overreach or forced consolidation.
- The company will not allow its infrastructure, policies, or mission to be manipulated by government or corporate entities seeking to control digital rights, ISP independence, or customer protections.

Moving Forward:

- Root Automation will take independent steps to secure its position without reliance on corrupt or compromised federal engagement.
- If federal agencies fail to act in good faith, alternative partnerships, state-level engagement, and
 international cooperation may be explored to prevent monopolization and suppression of
 independent broadband providers.

12. Space-Based Data Storage and Political Cover-Ups:

- There is growing concern that space-based internet providers such as Starlink may be used as a means to
 control, manipulate, or hide politically sensitive evidence, particularly related to government officials and
 sex scandals.
- Motives for Using Starlink or Similar Networks for Evidence Handling:

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- Global and Secure Satellite Network: Starlink operates a decentralized, satellite-based infrastructure, making it more difficult for law enforcement agencies to access stored or transmitted data through traditional means.
- Circumventing ISP and Telecom Oversight: Unlike land-based ISPs, which are subject to legal requirements, Starlink can reroute sensitive data beyond standard regulatory reach.
- Legal Loopholes in Space-Based Data Storage: International space law lacks clear guidelines on data ownership, storage, and legal jurisdiction, creating a gray area where incriminating evidence could be hidden or erased.

• Historical Context of Presidential Scandals and Digital Control:

- Throughout modern history, most U.S. Presidents since 1974 have been implicated in or accused of sex scandals.
- The potential for government, corporate, or intelligence agencies to use digital infrastructure to suppress or conceal sensitive information has increased with advancements in satellite technology.

Root Automation's Position:

- Root Automation will advocate for transparency and accountability in digital evidence handling, particularly in cases where major political figures may have used space-based storage to avoid oversight.
- The company will support policies that ensure digital records, including those stored on Starlink or other satellite providers, are subject to legal due process and not selectively erased or hidden.
- Root Automation will work to prevent ISPs and content networks from being manipulated for political or corporate cover-ups, ensuring fair access to digital evidence in legal disputes.

13. Intellectual Property Rights and ISP Customer Agreements:

- There has been a notable shift in **telecommunications and intellectual property law**, where some ISPs have moved towards **denying customers rights to their own digital content**.
- Large IP firms, potentially in collaboration with major ISPs, have likely been pushing new contractual
 frameworks that reduce customer control over their own IP when hosted or transmitted via ISP
 infrastructure.
- Root Automation's Stance on ISP and Customer IP Rights:
 - Customers should maintain **clear ownership rights over their IP**, regardless of their continued relationship with an ISP.
 - Leaving an ISP should not inherently mean losing digital rights, but rather maintaining a healthy
 and transparent legal relationship to address future IP disputes.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- ISPs should be transparent about digital content policies, preventing companies like X (formerly Twitter) or SpaceX from leveraging ISP agreements to assert hidden control over customer IP.
- Root Automation opposes any effort by large ISPs, cloud storage companies, or space-based networks to silence, alter, or claim ownership of user-generated content under the guise of service agreements.

14. Distrust of Law Firms Specializing in Both Telecommunications and Intellectual Property Law:

- Many law firms that advertise expertise in both telecommunications and intellectual property law have failed to clarify the complex issues surrounding ISP rights and customer digital ownership.
- Despite having the knowledge and experience to provide legal guidance on balancing these interests, such
 firms have instead prioritized the interests of large telecom corporations and intellectual property
 holders over independent ISPs and their customers.
- Root Automation's Stance on Legal Representation:
 - Root Automation does not trust law firms that have historically represented both telecom and IP clients while failing to advocate for ISP and customer protections.
 - Such firms should not be trusted to provide unbiased legal counsel, as their dual representation
 creates an inherent conflict of interest.
 - Root Automation will not engage with legal firms that have demonstrated a lack of integrity in addressing these issues, preferring independent legal experts who are committed to ensuring fair treatment for ISPs and their customers.

Moving Forward:

 Root Automation encourages independent ISPs to carefully evaluate legal representation and avoid firms that have a history of defending monopolistic practices under the guise of legal expertise in telecom and IP law.

15. FCC Form 477 Manipulation and Jurisdictional Fraud:

- Root Automation has experienced firsthand evidence of FCC misconduct regarding Form 477 filings,
 specifically involving fraudulent jurisdictional claims to manipulate broadband mapping and ISP coverage.
- During past filings, the FCC refused to approve Root Automation's submission despite email communications confirming non-AI-based human review.

Key Issues Identified:

- The FCC falsely claimed that a location near Fall Creek, tied to the City of Yreka's water project, was under a different jurisdiction than reality.
- Several other locations were also **wrongly classified**, likely to **manufacture coverage overlap** with major ISPs and deny Root Automation's legitimate service claims.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

• When Root Automation corrected these errors, the FCC **refused to publish its data**, instead favoring competing providers who otherwise would not have qualified.

Baiting ISPs into Perjury:

- Root Automation, by handling filings directly, was able to identify the FCC's tactics to bait ISPs into committing perjury by forcing them to accept incorrect jurisdictional claims.
- Had Root Automation been misled into signing off on false data, it would have been legally liable, despite the errors originating from FCC misrepresentation.
- As part of Root Automation's response to the FCC, the following official statement was provided:

"In summary, because Ryan is unable to satisfactorily trust that the FCC is acting legally Ryan is not able to submit any FCC 477 information at this point. Ryan will continue to work with the CPUC through their broadband mapping program and has already notified both the CPUC and the FCC that is the CPUC is able to share information Ryan has submitted with the FCC in ways that address the customer privacy concerns and concerns over how to handle conflicting information when using the directions of the FCC than Ryan will not stop that information sharing. As these things are true Ryan is requesting the fee be waived entirely. If the fee is not waived Ryan will still not be submitting information to the FCC. They are clearly acting illegally and acting unfairly attempting to harm Ryan, his family and Root Automation customers. As the FCC should be aware. The only legal way to communicate with Ryan at this point over this matter is by email or by mailing him information to the proper legal addresses for the fictitious business names for Root Automation and 4Fast.net. Any further mail sent to his home addresses will be viewed as illegal harassment and also further pursued to the full extent of the law. If this request to have this penalty is granted or not granted please contact Root Automation via mail and likewise email."

• FCC's Conspiracy to Illegally Transfer Root Automation's Service Coverage to Another ISP:

- When the FCC refused to publish Root Automation's 477 data, another company appeared to be claiming Root Automation's service locations as its own, despite lacking the capability to provide such services.
- This strongly suggests the FCC was conspiring to enable an illegal takeover of Root
 Automation's business, allowing another ISP to falsely claim coverage over locations Root
 Automation had properly served.
- Initially, it appeared that an unnamed company that became a WISPA member and was
 involved in what at the time was the largest grant in the U.S. in California was the entity
 benefiting from this fraudulent data manipulation.
- Over time, service coverage claims were shifted to Cal-Ore Communications, Inc., particularly
 around the Grenada area, which now reflects skewed broadband mapping tied to recent fiber
 installs and possible undisclosed agreements between the FCC, an unnamed company that
 became a WISPA member and was involved in what at the time was the largest grant in the
 U.S. in California, and Cal-Ore.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- City of Yreka Infrastructure & Equipment Misuse:
 - The City of Yreka, which had access to Root Automation's infrastructure, acted unprofessionally and violated agreements regarding ISP-owned equipment.
 - · Equipment placed on a City of Yreka tower was deliberately damaged beyond reasonable wear.
 - After Root Automation replaced the damaged equipment at its own expense, the City of Yreka refused to return the original hardware as contractually required.
 - The city instead demanded Root Automation retrieve the equipment from an unsecured lot, despite contractual obligations requiring its proper return.
- Ensuring Accurate Business Directories & Liability for False Listings:
 - Root Automation will ensure that an Internet directory containing verified legal business information within its jurisdiction is available to the public.
 - This directory will provide accurate business names, addresses, and contact details to prevent the spread of false or misleading business information.
 - Holding External Entities Accountable:
 - Any outside entities that publish business listings, including but not limited to BBB,
 Microsoft, and Google, must be held liable for publishing false or misleading business information.
 - Organizations that invite public reviews of businesses based on incorrect information will be considered more culpable, as they knowingly promote misinformation and misrepresentation.
 - Root Automation will actively challenge and seek accountability for any misrepresentation of businesses operating within its jurisdiction.
- Critical Communications Infrastructure & IP Storage Concerns:
 - Root Automation recognizes that facilities necessary to deploy broadband, independent of the
 content transmitted, have been classified as critical communications infrastructure and should
 be protected under strict regulations.
 - The company rejects any mixing of critical communications infrastructure with hidden IP storage mechanisms, including storing intellectual property in networking hardware or communications sites in a way that could distort legal interpretations of infrastructure classification.
 - If Root Automation ever stores IP, it will do so in a manner that aligns with legal transparency
 and infrastructure integrity, ensuring that critical sites are not compromised by legal loopholes
 or obscured data practices.
 - Root Automation rejects the use of wireless communication sites to store IP in ways that could undermine the integrity of critical communications infrastructure.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

• High-Risk Environments, Legal Conflicts, and DNA Evidence Misuse:

- Industries like **telecom operate in high-risk environments** where **jurisdictional clarity is often lacking**, with conflicting local, federal, and tribal regulations.
- Legal disputes in these industries create incentives for entities to exploit legal gray areas, including issues related to sexual crimes and DNA evidence.
- Concerns with DNA Evidence Use in Legal Manipulation:
 - Individuals who take rape test kits while uncertain of what occurred (due to drugging, intoxication, or medical conditions) may be vulnerable to legal manipulation.
 - Current laws may not adequately protect individuals from DNA results being used against them in ways that distort justice.
 - There is a risk that DNA evidence could be used to delay Freedom of Information requests or manipulate investigations, particularly in industries where government and corporate interests intersect.

• Root Automation's Position:

- Root Automation supports legal clarity and fairness in all high-risk legal disputes, ensuring that victims are protected while preventing evidence from being misused in regulatory conflicts.
- The company advocates for legal reforms that prevent the misuse of DNA evidence in cases where jurisdictional conflicts exist.
- It is critical that industries like telecom remain vigilant against legal loopholes being
 exploited to delay investigations, deny access to public records, or interfere with due
 process.

X. Public Record Binding and Content Provider Compliance

- 1. Binding the Document to Title Information in Public Records:
 - This document shall be tied to publicly recorded title information to ensure transparent enforcement of ISP policies and network access regulations.
 - The goal is to create a **publicly verifiable standard** that prevents **off-the-record agreements** or **hidden arrangements** benefiting major content providers at the expense of local ISPs.

2. Peering Models and Local ISP Protection:

 Root Automation supports peering agreements that ensure fair data exchange between ISPs and content providers.

112 4 H Way Yreka, CA 96097

rroot@rootautomation.com Phone: +1 (530) 842-2690

- ISPs in the jurisdiction shall have the authority to refuse transmission of data from external content providers that do not engage in fair peering practices.
- This measure protects **rural ISPs from bearing unfair infrastructure costs** that disproportionately benefit major content providers operating in large urban data centers.

3. Tariff Structures for Non-Compliant Content Providers:

- Content providers that **refuse to comply with local business licensing requirements** may face a **tariff structure** that ensures they **pay a fair share of network costs**.
- This structure shall be designed to prevent predatory market practices where content companies
 exploit low-cost data center connections while burdening local ISPs with disproportionate
 infrastructure expenses.
- Tariffs shall be publicly recorded and enforced transparently to prevent backroom deals and ensure compliance with fair market principles.

4. Ensuring ISP Sustainability in Rural Areas:

- The imbalance between content companies accessing cheap urban internet while rural ISPs bear infrastructure costs must be addressed.
- Local ISPs must be given regulatory tools to enforce fair business practices, ensuring sustainable rural broadband development.
- This includes public policy advocacy to regulate data transmission agreements and incentivize fair contributions from major content providers.